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Training Agenda

Specialized Training:
Investigating Sexual Abuse in Correctional Settings

Training Objectives

The purpose of this training is to assist agencies in meeting the requirements of PREA standard 115.(3)34: “Specialized Training for Investigators” and to increase participant success in the investigative process. The training will enable participants to:

1. Explain the legal liabilities relating to investigation of sexual abuse in confinement settings;
2. Understand the PREA standard requirements and best practice in first response, evidence collection procedures and investigation processes;
3. Explain the impact of culture on investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
4. Describe the processes involved in forensic medical exams, and the role of the victim advocate;
5. Define appropriate trauma-informed and gender-informed interviewing techniques; and
6. Identify key concepts and PREA standard requirements in report writing.
### Training Agenda Template

**Two Days**  
Specialized Training: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Correctional Settings

### Day 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 – 9:00am</td>
<td>WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS AND OVERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 – 10:15am</td>
<td>Module 1: PREA UPDATE AND OVERVIEW OF PREA INVESTIGATIVE STANDARDS: GUIDANCE FOR THE FIELD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 – 10:30am</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 11:45am</td>
<td>Module 2: LEGAL ISSUES AND AGENCY LIABILITY: WHAT INVESTIGATORS SHOULD KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 – 12:45pm</td>
<td><strong>LUNCH</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 – 1:45pm</td>
<td>Module 3: INVESTIGATIONS AND AGENCY CULTURE (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45 – 2:00pm</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 – 3:00pm</td>
<td>Module 4: TRAUMA AND VICTIM RESPONSE: CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE INVESTIGATIVE PROCESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 – 3:15pm</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3:15 – 4:45pm  | Module 5: ROLE OF MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH PRACTITIONERS IN INVESTIGATIONS (optional)  
**Presented in collaboration with local SANE** |
| 4:45 – 5:00pm  | WRAP UP AND QUESTIONS                                                   |
Day 2

8:30 – 8:45am  QUESTIONS FROM DAY ONE

8:45 – 10:45am Module 6: FIRST RESPONSE AND EVIDENCE COLLECTION: THE FOUNDATION FOR SUCCESSFUL INVESTIGATIONS

10:45 – 11:00am  BREAK

11:00am – 12:15pm Module 7: INTERVIEWING TECHNIQUES: SKILLS THAT ADDRESS THE DYNAMICS OF SEXUAL ABUSE

12:15 – 1:15pm  LUNCH

1:15 – 2:15pm Module 7 continued: INTERVIEWING TECHNIQUES: SKILLS ADDRESSING THE DYNAMICS OF SEXUAL ABUSE

2:15 – 2:30pm  BREAK

2:30 – 3:00pm Module 8: REPORT WRITING

3:00 – 4:00pm Module 9: PROSECUTORIAL COLLABORATION (optional) 
Presented by local Prosecutor

4:00 – 4:15pm WRAP UP AND EVALUATIONS
How to Use the Instructor’s Guide and Teaching Tips

This curriculum was designed to address the topics required in PREA standard 115.34, 124, 234, and 334. Trainers should review the materials provided and do the following:

✓ **Conduct a needs assessment.** No training exists in a vacuum. Trainers should coordinate with their PREA Coordinator to establish what needs exist that are specific to their agency, their policy, and their investigators and modify the training to meet those needs. The BJA PREA Toolkit could be a good resource for the PREA Coordinator if he/she has not already conducted an agency assessment or constructed a PREA compliance plan.

✓ **Assess the audience.** Every agency has investigators with different levels of experiences. Because of the requirements of the PREA Standards and the average experience levels of facility investigators across the country, some of the information contained in this curriculum is quite basic. The trainer should be aware of the experience level of the audience when preparing the training, and review the materials to either a) determine a method of presentation that appropriately addresses the audience’s experience levels, or b) remove those pieces of information that may be too basic for the audience to avoid causing frustration or indifference among training participants. If more advanced material is required for the audience, technical assistance can be requested from the National PREA Resource Center at [http://www.prearesourcetracer.org/](http://www.prearesourcetracer.org/). Additionally, trainers should consider including stakeholders in select parts of the training to enhance communication within the agency. For example, it may be beneficial to include some level of administrator in both Module 2: Legal Issues and Agency Liability and Module 3: Agency Culture.

✓ **Implement the recommendations** in red font for training revision based on agency-specific information. The current training provides content based on the requirements of the PREA standards and best practice in the area of investigating incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Investigators in any agency will benefit from a revision of the training to include agency-specific policy and procedure to ensure investigators understand how the PREA standards impact their day-to-day duties. Additionally, the current PowerPoint® slides and curriculum are designed to be applicable to both adult and juvenile agencies. Trainers should review the training and remove any information that is not applicable to the population of their agency.

✓ **Select Relevant Modules.** Determine whether to include the “optional” modules based on the needs of the audience and the time limitations within which they are working. These modules can be extremely valuable if there is time to include them, but they are not required within the PREA Standard. If you choose not to implement, training objectives three and four will not apply.

- The selection of the trainers can influence the receptivity of the audience. The following characteristics should be considered when selecting trainers for any training:
  1. Content expertise
  2. Effectiveness as a speaker
  3. Diversity (race, gender, age, ideas)
  4. Credibility
5. Reliability
6. Technologically competent with presentation technology (e.g., PowerPoint® slides)
7. Someone from the local site in addition to national presenters
8. Commitment to and interest in the topic of PREA.

For this training in particular, it is recommended an experienced trainer co-teach with an investigator or someone with subject matter expertise in investigations. Modules such as Module 7: Interviewing are best taught by someone with experience in the skill set being trained.

- A good way to enhance training is to bring in outside presenters. Modules that may benefit from outside presenters include:
  1. Module 2: Legal Issues and Agency Liability may be best presented by someone from Human Resources or Legal to ensure the instructor’s expertise in the area of case law.
  2. The section of Module 5: Medical and Mental Health Practitioners addressing the forensic medical exam module would ideally be presented by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner to ensure expertise.
  3. Module 9: Prosecutorial Collaboration would ideally be presented by, or in collaboration with, a local prosecutor who handles sexual abuse cases from your agency. This would enhance relationship building and allow for issues and questions specific to your agency to be addressed.

- The lesson plan modules can be arranged in a variety of ways to meet the specific training needs of participants. The suggested format for the training, however, is teaching the modules in the numeric sequence over a two day period.

- There is one formal break scheduled in the morning and one in the afternoon. You may need to judge whether this is enough. Per adult learning theory, adding in a few stretch breaks can help with participants’ attention span and energy.

- At the beginning of each module are more generalized teaching tips for that module, including concepts to emphasize and frequently asked questions.

- The method of presentation for the training includes lecture, interactive exercises, and visual aids (DVDs and a PowerPoint® slide format). Each time a PowerPoint® slide program or video is used, the following logos will appear in the right hand column of the lesson plans:

  ![video or DVD]  ![PowerPoint®]

This is the indication to put in the video, DVD or forward to the next slide. The slides are to enhance and clarify your presentation. Do not read or talk “to” the slides. Use a remote control to advance the slides.
control or ask a co-trainer to forward the slides for you. Practice using the equipment before the training.

- A notebook icon 📚 will appear in the far right column when the trainer needs to refer to a written handout or page in the participants’ notebook.

The lesson plans are written in a lecture format with talking points, although an instructor should feel free to present the material in the manner most appropriate to their audience. The far left column includes suggested times for the slides. The middle column includes an image of a PowerPoint® slide and talking points for the instructor. Teaching tips are included in the far right column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 min</th>
<th>Title of Slide</th>
<th>Teaching tips are included below the slide.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Example Slide</td>
<td>Teaching tips are included in this column.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendations for modification of content based on agency-specific considerations are included in red font.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The word “Activity” appears whenever there is an individual or small group exercise. General discussion questions posed to the full group by the instructor are not listed as an activity but rather a regular part of the lecture style. Group activities and participant involvement are an important part of any training. Group interactions with the trainer involving mutual inquiry, shared experiences and personal observations help keep the training interesting and relevant. This training contains the activities that are necessary to communicate content, but it is recommended that trainers add more activities if their time allows. A collection of suggested activities are included in the curriculum following this section.

- Adult learning models suggest that for maximum attention and retention, “non-lecture” activities be interjected at least every ten minutes.

- People learn in different ways – some are visual learners, some need to experiment and be more “hands on”, while others prefer a lecture format. Mix up your teaching style to reach the maximum number of people. Explain things in different ways and monitor your audience for comprehension through verbal interaction, watching their behavior and
feedback. The following information on your training audience’s different learning styles will assist you in creating an effective presentation:

**Basic Learning Styles**  
Visual – See it or watch it done  
Auditory – Hear it  
Tactile-Kinesthetic – Touch it or do it

**Thinking Styles**  
Concrete - focuses on facts and figures  
Abstract – focuses on relationships, principles, ideas and underlying meanings or moods  
Sequential – orders information in a linear, step-by-step manner  
Random – orders information in chunks with no particular sequence  
Analytical – breaks information down into the component parts and are very detail-oriented  
Global – Sees information in terms of the overall picture and the context

**Personality Styles**  
Directing – Fast-paced and task-oriented  
Influencing – Fast-paced and people-oriented  
Steady – Slower-paced and people-oriented  
Conscientious – Slower-paced and task-oriented

*(From How to Teach so they can Learn by Lori Coeman, Mott Media, 2002)*

- It is suggested that you allow at least six to eight hours to review the materials and walk through the activities before you instruct the program.
# Instructional Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>ADVANTAGES</th>
<th>POSSIBLE DISADVANTAGES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lecture</strong>&lt;br&gt;  Lecturette (shorter lecture)&lt;br&gt; Lecture-Forum (with question cards or question/answer period)</td>
<td>Conveys large sum of information; fast; efficient forum allows exploration of content in more detail.</td>
<td>Audience is largely passive.</td>
<td>Trainer should be an interesting speaker, able to self-limit and stick to time, be able to facilitate questions effectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel</strong>&lt;br&gt;  Panel forum&lt;br&gt; Expanding Panel (vacant chair—individual can join panel when wishing to express opinion)</td>
<td>Adds different points of view to content.</td>
<td>Audience is largely passive with exception of expanding panel; expanding panel not practical with groups larger than 20.</td>
<td>Leader must express solid set of ground rules and have skills to enforce them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debate</strong></td>
<td>Provides different points of view; thought-provoking.</td>
<td>Audience is largely passive.</td>
<td>Same as for panel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation</strong>&lt;br&gt;  Presentation with Listening Teams (participants given listening assignment before presentation question speaker afterward)&lt;br&gt; Presentation with Reaction Panel (small group listens and forms panel following presentation)</td>
<td>Keeps participants interested and involved. Resources can be discovered and shared. Learning can be observed. Lots of information; fast; new points of view; a more organized question-and-answer format; reaction panel can speak.</td>
<td>Learning points can be confusing or lost. A few participants may dominate the discussion. Time control is more difficult. Audience is largely passive; reaction panel may not represent all views of the group.</td>
<td>Trainer orally presents new information to the group. Trainer should structure listening assignment with clear purpose; must select panelists from a cross-section of the group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Film</strong>&lt;br&gt;  Prepared Videotape Slides&lt;br&gt; Educational T.V.</td>
<td>Reinforces content, adds entertainment; video allows lights to remain on; flexible start and stop for discussion.</td>
<td>Passive methods for an audience; possibility of equipment problems.</td>
<td>These methods are not appropriate early in a session; never use to start a session; always introduce &amp; de-brief a film, etc.; say, “Look for __, __, &amp; __”,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Discussion (of given topic)</td>
<td>Buzz Groups (short, time-limited discussion on given subject)</td>
<td>Reading (alone or aloud) Reading with Discussion or Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeps participants interested and involved. Resources can be discovered and shared. Learning can be observed. Participants are active; allows chance to hear other points of view; quieter people can express viewpoints and ideas.</td>
<td>Learning points can be confusing or lost. A few participants may dominate the discussion. Time control is more difficult. Inexperienced leader may be unable to use format for attitudinal purposes.</td>
<td>Can be boring if used too long without interruption. Participants read at different paces. Difficult to measure if people are learning. May require more reading/writing skills than participants have; leader may have to fill in after reports.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainer divides large group into small groups; groups of 4–6 are most effective. Small group has a short time to discuss a topic or solve a problem Trainer should be able to give clear instructions and keep discussion on target. Main function is judging when to cut off discussion.</td>
<td>For idea generation and creative group thinking; all participants present many ideas as rapidly as possible on a problem or issue. Then group organizes list into categories for further discussion. Do not evaluate, criticize, omit, or discuss contributions until all are written; record in contributor’s own words; use another person to record if possible.</td>
<td>Written material is used to present new information to participants Requires skill to select relevant material; reading skill by participants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainstorming Can get all participants involved in collecting a lot of information. Quickly generate ideas. Good for problem-solving; quick change of pace; filler; allows all to participate; validates ideas of group.</td>
<td>The problem/issue must be clearly defined. Time control is more difficult. Need clear trigger questions and evaluation/discussion afterwards; somewhat over-used method; requires careful facilitation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attitudinal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>ADVANTAGES</th>
<th>POSSIBLE DISADVANTAGES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Role-play</td>
<td>(See Behavioral Methods.)</td>
<td>(See Behavioral Methods.)</td>
<td>(See Behavioral Methods.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-role-play</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing Self</td>
<td>Thought provoking; good for making thoughts and opinions more concrete, warm-up activity.</td>
<td>Requires participant self-direction.</td>
<td>Need to relate to relevant learning goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“movie”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doodling</td>
<td>Thought provoking; good for making thoughts and opinions more concrete, warm-up activity.</td>
<td>Requires participant self-direction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portraits (of self or others)</td>
<td>Thought provoking; good for making thoughts and opinions more concrete, warm-up activity.</td>
<td>Requires participant self-direction.</td>
<td>Need to relate to relevant learning goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>Thought provoking; good for making thoughts and opinions more concrete, warm-up activity.</td>
<td>Requires participant self-direction.</td>
<td>Need to relate to relevant learning goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Games</td>
<td>Thought provoking; good for making thoughts and opinions more concrete, warm-up activity.</td>
<td>Requires participant self-direction.</td>
<td>Need to relate to relevant learning goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Groups</td>
<td>Sustained interaction allows quieter people to express themselves; validates participants.</td>
<td>Time consuming; requires great degree of self-direction and group maturity.</td>
<td>Keep groups small and diverse with sustained interaction and clear purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantomime Skits</td>
<td>Engaging, active; good for warm-up.</td>
<td>Willingness and trust of group necessary.</td>
<td>Need to provide direction and purpose; relate method to relevant goals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Behavioral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>ADVANTAGES</th>
<th>POSSIBLE DISADVANTAGES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Role-Play</td>
<td>Helps retention.</td>
<td>Requires preparation time.</td>
<td>Participants act out problem-solving situations similar to those they will encounter in their workplace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Role-Play</td>
<td>Allows participants to practice new skills in a controlled environment.</td>
<td>May be difficult to tailor to all situations.</td>
<td>Trainer needs skill and understanding—must get people into roles, give directions, build climate of trust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Movie” (role-play assisted by feedback, “more __, or less __”)</td>
<td>Participants are actively involved.</td>
<td>Requires maturity and willingness of groups; requires trainer have excellent facilitation skills.</td>
<td>Trainer needs insight into how activity may pose a threat to some individuals; ability to help group process &amp; de-brief. Use in well-formed group. Can be structured into dyad, triad, and fishbowl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observers can impact attitude and behavior.</td>
<td>Requires sufficient class time for exercise completion and feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Benefits/Considerations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation games</td>
<td>Intense involvement; practice skills in problem solving and decision-making; competitive.</td>
<td>Competitive; requires a game and possibly a consultant to help facilitate; time consuming.</td>
<td>A package game requires prep time for the leader to learn the rules and directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape recording with playback</td>
<td>Very concrete learning tool; participant involved in judging own performance.</td>
<td>Criteria must be clear; feedback and assessment based on specific behaviors; requires equipment.</td>
<td>Trainer should establish purpose and performance criteria clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape recording with playback</td>
<td>Requires active participant involvement. Can simulate performance required after training. Learning can be observed. Opportunity to apply new knowledge; requires judgment; good assessment tool; participants active; chance to practice skills.</td>
<td>Information must be precise and kept up-to-date. Needs sufficient class time for participants to complete the case. Participants can become too interested in the case content. Case study must be relevant to learner’s needs and daily concerns.</td>
<td>Participants are given information about a situation and directed to come to a decision or solve a problem concerning the situation. Trainer needs to have knowledge and skills to “solve” the problem; may need to design own studies; compare approaches of several groups and reinforce best solutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case study</td>
<td>Requires active participant involvement.</td>
<td>Information must be precise and kept up-to-date. Needs sufficient class time for participants to complete the case. Participants can become too interested in the case content. Case study must be relevant to learner’s needs and daily concerns.</td>
<td>Trainer should establish purpose and performance criteria clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-case study (problem situations for small groups to analyze)</td>
<td>Requires writing skills; must be relevant to participant.</td>
<td>Information must be precise and kept up-to-date. Needs sufficient class time for participants to complete the case. Participants can become too interested in the case content. Case study must be relevant to learner’s needs and daily concerns.</td>
<td>Participants are given information about a situation and directed to come to a decision or solve a problem concerning the situation. Trainer needs to have knowledge and skills to “solve” the problem; may need to design own studies; compare approaches of several groups and reinforce best solutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical incident (small section of case stating most critical or dramatic moment)</td>
<td>Helps participant clarify and crystallize thoughts, opinions, values; opportunity to apply knowledge to “real” situation.</td>
<td>Requires writing skills; must be relevant to participant.</td>
<td>Leader needs knowledge of participant’s daily concerns/needs; ability to critique responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“In-basket” (form of case study— “Letters, memos” etc. given to participant for response)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Requires writing skills; must be relevant to participant.</td>
<td>Participants are shown the correct steps for completing a task or are shown an example of a correctly completed task. Requires skill to model desired behavior; break procedure down into simple steps; ability to provide feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Aids comprehension and retention. Stimulates participants' interest. Can give participants model to follow. Allows for optional modeling of desired behavior/skill; can be active; good for learning simple skills.</td>
<td>Must be accurate and relevant to participants. Written examples can require lengthy preparation time. Trainer demonstrations may be difficult for all participants to see well. Method more effective if participants are active; feedback must follow immediately after practice.</td>
<td>Participants are shown the correct steps for completing a task or are shown an example of a correctly completed task. Requires skill to model desired behavior; break procedure down into simple steps; ability to provide feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration with practice (by participants)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Must be accurate and relevant to participants. Written examples can require lengthy preparation time. Trainer demonstrations may be difficult for all participants to see well. Method more effective if participants are active; feedback must follow immediately after practice.</td>
<td>Participants are shown the correct steps for completing a task or are shown an example of a correctly completed task. Requires skill to model desired behavior; break procedure down into simple steps; ability to provide feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills practice lab (small participant groups practice together).</td>
<td>Different points of view and feedback; participant active; good for translating information into skills.</td>
<td>Group should have enough knowledge or insight to coach one another.</td>
<td>Act as a resource to groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Facilitating Common Training Methods *

**Brainstorm**

The trainer poses a question and asks for a quick listing of responses.

**Rules:**

- No criticism
- Be creative
- Have fun,
- Piggyback on each other’s ideas

Think of a variety of ways to ask the question to stimulate more responses from a variety of perspectives.

Some participants may have a lot to say and will speak up right away. Others may hang back. To facilitate broader involvement, you might ask everyone to write down three ideas, and then go around and have participants read their lists.

**Case Histories**

The trainer uses stories or descriptions of patients to help learners relate to the topic and/or solve a hypothetical problem or set of problems. The case description is typically given to a small group with a set of instructions for such tasks as diagnosing, developing treatment plans, solving compliance issues, etc.

Ask groups to create case histories from their own experiences.
**Continuum**

Use this method to explore the group’s range of opinions on an issue. The trainer draws an imaginary line on the floor representing a continuum of opinions. The trainer asks a learner, or several, to make their statements by standing on the line somewhere between two opposing viewpoints on an issue, assuming gradations in between. The trainer facilitates a discussion, and asks for responses from various positions along the line. Participants may change their positions as they listen to others’ reasoning.

Ask participants to express their place on the continuum on a printed worksheet. Collect the worksheets, shuffle them, and hand them to others. Ask people to stand on the line according to the view on their paper, and then one-by-one, read them aloud. Learners must try to express a perspective different from their own, enhancing their own understanding and options.

**Forced Choice**

This method is different from a continuum in that no gradation of opinion is allowed. Participants are asked to “force” themselves to choose between two viewpoints, demonstrating that many people see choices as forced, often feeling they have no good options.

**Discussion**

To increase interaction among learners in a discussion and enrich the dialogue, try the following:

- Arrange seating so participants can see and interact with one another.
- Pose open-ended questions.
- Resist asking questions for which only you have the “correct” answer; instead, give that information in statements.
- Draw out a number of perspectives.
- Wait for responses; try to calibrate your tolerance for quiet time—when no one is talking—to the comfort level of the group.
- Avoid jumping in with an opinion before participants get a chance to respond.
- Use flip charges and newsprint to record points for later review.
- Don’t reply to all input; wait for and encourage other members to reply while you facilitate a multi-directional conversation.
- Watch people’s body language. When quiet people seem to want to say something, invite them to speak.
- Refer to ground rules (which you may have stated at the beginning of training) when necessary, especially with regard to respecting other people’s values and beliefs.
Graffiti Sheets

Invite participants to respond to a series of questions or stem sentences posted on butcher paper around the room. Each sheet has one question. All the sheets should relate to the same issue or general topic. Use this method to:

- Assess participants’ knowledge
- Identify and explore misinformation and myths
- Determine beliefs or values
- Stimulate discussion about the responses participants posted

Presentations

Build your presentations around a few key concepts and stick to them. The following are good keys to presentation success:

- Be well prepared.
- Deliver your notes in a concise, accurate, relevant, and honest way.
- Assess the group’s needs and the physical environment and adapt if/where necessary
- Focus on your learners; remain attentive to their verbal and non-verbal responses and reactions.
- Use clear language and anticipate language differences
- If you have a sign language interpreter or language translator, ask him or her to stand where the whole group can see/hear them.
- Use visual aids to engage visual learners.
- Keep it brief and follow your presentation with interactive methods

What you say is not necessarily what your listeners hear, perceive, or comprehend. Check understanding and reactions periodically by asking open-ended questions; and listening to learners during other active and interactive activities.

Role Plays

This provides an opportunity to practice skills and behaviors as well as to explore attitudes, knowledge, and feelings. Role plays force participants to apply what they are learning about a key concept or a new skill to a simulated situation. Emotions and complexities surface and the situation is experienced more fully. You can:

- Conduct role plays in front of the group as a demonstration by trainers or participant volunteers.
- Ask participants to practice in triads, taking turns with three roles: provider, patient, and observer.
Provide guidance for the observers regarding content and process for delivering their feedback and observations.

**Task Groups**

Small groups are often a way for quieter participants to speak up. Ask small groups to accomplish a set task. Its purpose may be as simple as discussing reactions or sharing information, but usually includes finishing an assignment and a report back to the larger group.

Groups can share their results in a number of ways.

- Each group explains their work on one item of the task and subsequent groups add on, one item at a time until all ideas from all groups are summarized.
- Each group can talk about their entire process and the results of their work together.
- Each group can report only one item: their favorite idea, most significant idea, most surprising result, etc.

**Worksheets**

These handouts give guidelines for thinking or working through a complex issue or problem. They should provide opportunity and structure for learners to analyze information, to examine, question, evaluate, categorize, extrapolate, generalize, synthesize, compare, and contrast parts of the content. Worksheets are a tool for individuals or small groups.

**Whip**

This is an exercise in listening. The trainer poses a question, usually on addressing an opinion or feeling, and asks each participant to respond quickly in turn—either one after another around the circle or when pointed to at random. Allow participants to pass if they choose. The most valuable effect of this process is that the group hears a pattern of response, or more easily hears contrasting opinions or themes.

*Adapted from Education & Counseling for Risk Reduction (ECRR) Curriculum, Center for Health Training (based on an adaptation from Teaching About Sexuality and HIV: Principles and Methods for Effective Education, Hemlich J and Hedgepeth E, New York University Press, 1996)*
Lesson Plans

Welcome
8:30 – 9:30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Lecture Notes</th>
<th>Teaching Tips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
<td>Introduce yourself and then let each instructor do a self-introduction. Have each training participant introduce themselves, give their job title and, if time, any other information that might be an icebreaker and help facilitate the comfort level of the group. Examples include: (1) Interesting location they have traveled to; (2) Favorite food; (3) Something interesting they have done that is not visible by looking at them (e.g., mountain biking in Nepal; visited every major league ballpark in the US; met some celebrity; shook a president’s hand; know how to tap dance; collections or hobbies). Avoid silly or embarrassing icebreaker activities and use your own creative thoughts. Participant introductions can help set the tone for the training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td>Indicate the location of the restroom, telephones, where people should be parking, if they can smoke on the property, and address any special needs. Ask participants to turn off their cell phones. Indicate that there will be frequent breaks that will allow time for making phone calls. Ask participants to come back on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>Participant Materials</td>
<td>Describe the participant materials. Indicate that they will be referred to throughout the training. The materials are for them to keep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>“Parking Lot” Ideas and Questions</td>
<td>Before the training, tape 2-3 blank sheets of easel pad paper on the wall. This paper is referred to as a “parking lot” where ideas, issues and questions “park” until they can be addressed. As issues and questions arise that are not appropriate to address at that particular time or for which further information needs to be gathered, write the issue on this paper. Throughout the day, refer back to the “parking lot” to ensure the issues and questions are being addressed. There will also be time at the end of the day to address any unanswered questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>Agenda: Day 1</td>
<td>Refer participants to their training materials for the agenda. Review training agenda including break and lunch schedule noting that the break times may vary slightly. Give permission for people to stand up and stretch, get a drink or use the restroom whenever needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Refer participants to their training materials for the agenda. Review training agenda including break and lunch schedule noting that the break times may vary slightly. Give permission for people to stand up and stretch, get a drink or use the restroom whenever needed.

### Course Objectives

**3 min Course Objectives**

Review course objectives with participants.

#### Course Objectives (continued)

3. Explain the impact of culture on investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;

4. Describe the processes involved in forensic medical exams, and the role of the victim advocate;

5. Define appropriate trauma-informed and gender-informed interviewing techniques; and

6. Identify key concepts and PREA standard requirements in report writing.

Note: Objective #3 is only applicable if Module 3 is presented

Note: Objective #4 is only applicable if Module 5 is presented
**Ground Rules**

- Speak up! All perspectives are encouraged.
- Listen up! We learn from each other.
- Engage! Engagement and Interaction is the most effective way to learn and it will help the trainers focus on the practical issues that are most important to you.
- Ask questions!

**Parking Lot**

Throughout the training, blank sheets of easel pad paper will be taped on the wall. This paper is referred to as a “parking lot” where ideas, issues and questions “park” until they can be addressed. As issues and questions arise that are not appropriate to address at that particular time or further information needs to be gathered, they will be written on this paper. Over the next three days, we will refer back to the “parking lot” to ensure the issues and questions are being addressed.

The training faculty should encourage participation and questions from the audience. Specify what you and the other trainers prefer if participants have questions (e.g., raise hand, speak out without being called upon).

Emphasize the importance of training courtesies (write them on the easel pad paper, tape it to the wall and leave it up throughout training). Courtesies might include, but are not limited to:

1. Everyone gets heard - people speak one at a time (everyone, no matter what rank, has equal weight in their opinions and thoughts)
2. Critical thinking is encouraged – keep an open mind
3. Differences are expected and accepted
4. Common ground and understanding will be sought
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